



Staff : DR. G. SATHI DEVI

Subject : MAT201 - PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND COMPLEX ANALYSIS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 48

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 71.49

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	12	25%	213
		Good	14.13	21	43.75%	296.73
		Fair	10.28	13	27.08%	133.64
		Poor	3.53	1	2.08%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.08%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	7	14.58%	101.5
		Good	11.81	14	29.17%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	22	45.83%	178.42
		Poor	2.01	5	10.42%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	12	25%	90
		Good	5.71	17	35.42%	97.07
		Fair	4.04	16	33.33%	64.64
		Poor	1.13	2	4.17%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.08%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	21	43.75%	122.85
		10 to 25	3.66	17	35.42%	62.22
		More than 25	1.91	7	14.58%	13.37
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	6.25%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	19	39.58%	76
Yes		0.67	13	27.08%	8.71	
Can't Judge		1.65	16	33.33%	26.4	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	7	14.58%	64.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	31	64.58%	196.54
		Inadequate	2.50	6	12.5%	15
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	8.33%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	23	47.92%	109.25
		Too Fast	1.34	13	27.08%	17.42
		Can't Judge	1.40	12	25%	16.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	21	43.75%	144.48
		Some Times	3.89	19	39.58%	73.91
		No	0.74	4	8.33%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	8.33%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	83.33%	270
		Indifferent	2.78	4	8.33%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.08%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	6.25%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	31	64.58%	356.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.25%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8	16.67%	40.48
		Blank	4.03	6	12.5%	24.18
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	9	18.75%	101.25
Good		9.03	18	37.5%	162.54	
Fair		6.46	16	33.33%	103.36	
Poor		1.56	2	4.17%	3.12	
Can't Judge		2.03	3	6.25%	6.09	

Strength and Weakness

- very willing to help and friendly. just felt that because of the online mode classes were not as effective as offline classes would be.
- strength- Willingness to help

Special Remarks

- friendly, willing to help.
- a very nice teacher

Head of The Department



Staff : SRI.GEORGE M JOSEPH

Subject : ECT201 - SOLID STATE DEVICES

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 48

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 88.62

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	72.92%	621.25
		Good	14.13	10	20.83%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	2	4.17%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.08%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20	41.67%	290
		Good	11.81	25	52.08%	295.25
		Fair	8.11	2	4.17%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.08%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	24	50%	180
		Good	5.71	20	41.67%	114.2
		Fair	4.04	3	6.25%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.08%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	36	75%	210.6
		10 to 25	3.66	7	14.58%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.25%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	4.17%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	38	79.17%	152
Yes		0.67	6	12.5%	4.02	
Can't Judge		1.65	4	8.33%	6.6	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	58.33%	259
		Satisfactory	6.34	19	39.58%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.08%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	31	64.58%	147.25
		Too Fast	1.34	13	27.08%	17.42
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	8.33%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	41	85.42%	282.08
		Some Times	3.89	6	12.5%	23.34
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.08%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	85.42%	276.75
		Indifferent	2.78	5	10.42%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.17%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	43	89.58%	494.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.17%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.17%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	2.08%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	50%	270
		Good	9.03	21	43.75%	189.63
		Fair	6.46	2	4.17%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.08%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

- sometimes the speed of presentation increases. other than that a very good class
- he knows all the bits of his subject and he's willing to share all of his knowledge

Special Remarks

- explains concepts very well and tries to stop students from mugging up portions.
- he's is a pleasant man with sincerity

Head of The Department



Staff : SMT. SANDHYA L

Subject : ect203 - LOGIC CIRCUIT DESIGN

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 47

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 93.44

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	32	68.09%	568
		Good	14.13	14	29.79%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	1	2.13%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	29	61.7%	420.5
		Good	11.81	18	38.3%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	59.57%	210
		Good	5.71	15	31.91%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	4	8.51%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	87.23%	239.85
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.51%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.13%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	2.13%	1.64
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	43	91.49%	172
Yes		0.67	4	8.51%	2.68	
Can't Judge		1.65	0	0%	0	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	78.72%	342.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	10	21.28%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	46	97.87%	218.5
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.13%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	85.11%	275.2
		Some Times	3.89	7	14.89%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	89.36%	283.5
		Indifferent	2.78	2	4.26%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.13%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.26%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	45	95.74%	517.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.26%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	32	68.09%	360
		Good	9.03	15	31.91%	135.45
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- providing us with many questions to work out her willing to help even if she's busy

Special Remarks

- her remarkable behaviour...she's really a pleasant character which every one would like.... her tactical moves to make us think these are really her main capabilities

Head of The Department



Staff : SMT. ASHA S

Subject : ECT205 - NETWORK THEORY

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 47

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 93.96

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	38	80.85%	674.5
		Good	14.13	6	12.77%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	1	2.13%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.26%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	76.6%	522
		Good	11.81	7	14.89%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	2	4.26%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.26%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	82.98%	292.5
		Good	5.71	5	10.64%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1	2.13%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.26%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	87.23%	239.85
		10 to 25	3.66	2	4.26%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.13%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	6.38%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	87.23%	164
Yes		0.67	4	8.51%	2.68	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	4.26%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	82.98%	360.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	12.77%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.13%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.13%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	43	91.49%	204.25
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.13%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.38%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	89.36%	288.96
		Some Times	3.89	4	8.51%	15.56
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.13%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	43	91.49%	290.25
		Indifferent	2.78	2	4.26%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.26%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	45	95.74%	517.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.13%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	2.13%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	42	89.36%	472.5
		Good	9.03	2	4.26%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	1	2.13%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.26%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

- her ability to organise the lecture is amazing.. she's an amazing personality ♥

Special Remarks

- her sincerity towards her job is remarkable... her character is remarkable...
- Encourages doubt clearing sessions

Head of The Department



Staff : SMT. SUBHA V

Subject : ECL203 - LOGIC DESIGN LAB
Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021
Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021
Users Completed : 46

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B
Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020
Overall Score : 68.28

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	10	21.74%	177.5
		Good	14.13	19	41.3%	268.47
		Fair	10.28	10	21.74%	102.8
		Poor	3.53	5	10.87%	17.65
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.35%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	19.57%	130.5
		Good	11.81	11	23.91%	129.91
		Fair	8.11	20	43.48%	162.2
		Poor	2.01	5	10.87%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.17%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	8	17.39%	60
		Good	5.71	18	39.13%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	11	23.91%	44.44
		Poor	1.13	7	15.22%	7.91
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.35%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	30	65.22%	175.5
		10 to 25	3.66	8	17.39%	29.28
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.52%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.87%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	28	60.87%	112
Yes		0.67	5	10.87%	3.35	
Can't Judge		1.65	13	28.26%	21.45	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	12	26.09%	111
		Satisfactory	6.34	22	47.83%	139.48
		Inadequate	2.50	10	21.74%	25
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.35%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	25	54.35%	118.75
		Too Fast	1.34	7	15.22%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	14	30.43%	19.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	18	39.13%	123.84
		Some Times	3.89	17	36.96%	66.13
		No	0.74	5	10.87%	3.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	13.04%	10.68
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	24	52.17%	162
		Indifferent	2.78	9	19.57%	25.02
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	8.7%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	9	19.57%	17.46
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	25	54.35%	287.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	8.7%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	9	19.57%	45.54
		Blank	4.03	8	17.39%	32.24
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	10	21.74%	112.5
		Good	9.03	12	26.09%	108.36
		Fair	6.46	15	32.61%	96.9
		Poor	1.56	7	15.22%	10.92
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.35%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : RENJITH R J

Subject : ECL203 - LOGIC DESIGN LAB

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 45

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 79.92

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	18	40%	319.5
		Good	14.13	19	42.22%	268.47
		Fair	10.28	5	11.11%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	6.67%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	15	33.33%	217.5
		Good	11.81	22	48.89%	259.82
		Fair	8.11	6	13.33%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.44%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	18	40%	135
		Good	5.71	16	35.56%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	9	20%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.44%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	35	77.78%	204.75
		10 to 25	3.66	5	11.11%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.22%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	8.89%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	27	60%	108
Yes		0.67	11	24.44%	7.37	
Can't Judge		1.65	7	15.56%	11.55	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	16	35.56%	148
		Satisfactory	6.34	21	46.67%	133.14
		Inadequate	2.50	5	11.11%	12.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6.67%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	32	71.11%	152
		Too Fast	1.34	3	6.67%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	22.22%	14
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	22	48.89%	151.36
		Some Times	3.89	11	24.44%	42.79
		No	0.74	3	6.67%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	9	20%	16.02
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	34	75.56%	229.5
		Indifferent	2.78	6	13.33%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	11.11%	9.7
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	34	75.56%	391
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	8.89%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	8.89%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	3	6.67%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	19	42.22%	213.75
		Good	9.03	17	37.78%	153.51
		Fair	6.46	4	8.89%	25.84
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	5	11.11%	10.15

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : SMT. PREETHA V H

Subject : ECL201 - SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING LABORATORY

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 46

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 90.86

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	34	73.91%	603.5
		Good	14.13	7	15.22%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	2	4.35%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	1	2.17%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.35%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	76.09%	507.5
		Good	11.81	8	17.39%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	1	2.17%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.35%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	76.09%	262.5
		Good	5.71	6	13.04%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	2	4.35%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	2.17%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.35%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	84.78%	228.15
		10 to 25	3.66	3	6.52%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.17%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	6.52%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	39	84.78%	156
Yes		0.67	5	10.87%	3.35	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	4.35%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	80.43%	342.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	7	15.22%	44.38
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.17%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.17%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	82.61%	180.5
		Too Fast	1.34	5	10.87%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.52%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	80.43%	254.56
		Some Times	3.89	7	15.22%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	2.17%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.17%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	86.96%	270
		Indifferent	2.78	4	8.7%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.35%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	89.13%	471.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.35%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.35%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	2.17%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	33	71.74%	371.25
		Good	9.03	9	19.57%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	1	2.17%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	1	2.17%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.35%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : SMT. JISU ELSA JACOB

Subject : ECL201 - SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING LABORATORY

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 46

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 89.44

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	32	69.57%	568
		Good	14.13	9	19.57%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	3	6.52%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	2.17%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.17%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	54.35%	362.5
		Good	11.81	16	34.78%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	3	6.52%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	2.17%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.17%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	58.7%	202.5
		Good	5.71	14	30.43%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	4	8.7%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.17%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	82.61%	222.3
		10 to 25	3.66	5	10.87%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.17%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	4.35%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	86.96%	160
Yes		0.67	4	8.7%	2.68	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	4.35%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	29	63.04%	268.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	16	34.78%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.17%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	36	78.26%	171
		Too Fast	1.34	5	10.87%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	10.87%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	34	73.91%	233.92
		Some Times	3.89	11	23.91%	42.79
		No	0.74	1	2.17%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	89.13%	276.75
		Indifferent	2.78	4	8.7%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.17%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	43	93.48%	494.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.17%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.17%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	2.17%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	27	58.7%	303.75
		Good	9.03	14	30.43%	126.42
		Fair	6.46	3	6.52%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	2.17%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.17%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : PROF.KUMARI THULASI R

Subject : HUT200 - PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 46

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 91.26

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	76.09%	621.25
		Good	14.13	4	8.7%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	5	10.87%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.35%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	65.22%	435
		Good	11.81	12	26.09%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	2	4.35%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	2.17%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.17%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	76.09%	262.5
		Good	5.71	6	13.04%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	3	6.52%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	2.17%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.17%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	82.61%	222.3
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.7%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.35%	3.82
Can't Judge		1.64	2	4.35%	3.28	
5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	34	73.91%	136	
	Yes	0.67	8	17.39%	5.36	
	Can't Judge	1.65	4	8.7%	6.6	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	78.26%	333
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	19.57%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.17%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	91.3%	199.5
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.17%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.52%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	33	71.74%	227.04
		Some Times	3.89	8	17.39%	31.12
		No	0.74	4	8.7%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.17%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	43	93.48%	290.25
		Indifferent	2.78	1	2.17%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.17%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.17%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	95.65%	506
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.17%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.17%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	36	78.26%	405
		Good	9.03	6	13.04%	54.18
		Fair	6.46	3	6.52%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.17%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff : SRI. SAJITHSETHU P

Subject : MCN201 - SUSTAINABLE ENGINEERING

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id : TE-SN452-Jan-2021

Users Completed : 46

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K19 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 91.55

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	80.43%	656.75
		Good	14.13	5	10.87%	70.65
		Fair	10.28	2	4.35%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.35%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	65.22%	435
		Good	11.81	12	26.09%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	2	4.35%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.35%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	71.74%	247.5
		Good	5.71	10	21.74%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	1	2.17%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.35%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	82.61%	222.3
		10 to 25	3.66	4	8.7%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.17%	1.91
Can't Judge		1.64	3	6.52%	4.92	
5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	89.13%	164	
	Yes	0.67	3	6.52%	2.01	
	Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.35%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	69.57%	296
		Satisfactory	6.34	12	26.09%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	4.35%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	91.3%	199.5
		Too Fast	1.34	1	2.17%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.52%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	35	76.09%	240.8
		Some Times	3.89	7	15.22%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	4.35%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.35%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	42	91.3%	283.5
		Indifferent	2.78	2	4.35%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.35%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	42	91.3%	483
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.17%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.35%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	2.17%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	33	71.74%	371.25
		Good	9.03	10	21.74%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	1	2.17%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	4.35%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

- Thank you sir ????
- a man with ethics towards his work.whom we could make our role model

Head of The Department